

## LANCASHIRE MENTAL HEALTH AND SOCIAL CARE PARTNERHIP BOARD (LPB)

Minutes of Meeting  
Friday, 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2007

**Present:-**

Margaret Bode	North Lancashire PCT	Daniel Cavallo	Service User Representative
Martin Goldberg	Service User Representative	Judith Holbrey	Blackburn with Darwen PCT
Paul Hopley	LPB Project Team	Janice Horrocks	LPB Project Team
Richard Jones (Chair)	Lancashire County Council	Helen Lammond	Blackpool PCT
David Peat	East Lancashire PCT	Philip Pye	Lancashire Joint PPI Forum
Finlay Robertson	Lancashire Care Trust	Shirley Saunders	Lancashire Care Trust
Mike Sinnott	Central Lancashire PCT	Stephen Sloss	Blackburn with Darwen SSD
Marie Wilson	Carer Representative		

**In attendance:-**

Tony Roberts	LAA – LCC	Paul Robinson	LAA – LCC
Pat Rolph	LPB Project Team		

**Apologies:-**

Bernard McDermott	Carer Representative	Steve Pullan	Blackpool Borough Council
Wendy Swift	Blackpool PCT		

It was agreed that the LAA presentation under item 2 would be moved to the beginning of the agenda.

### 2. 'Mental health and mental well being within the LAA' – presentation

A presentation on 'Mental health and mental well being within the LAA' was delivered by Tony Roberts and Paul Robinson from the Local Area Agreement at Lancashire County Council.

After the presentation Tony Roberts advised that for the future there would be quite a radical process over the next six to nine months for the LAA to promote well being across communities and a better outlook for those with mental health problems.

Finlay commented on the potential for the LPB to work around this by focusing on mental health well being and this was a good opportunity for them to get involved. David advised that this is a Lancashire County issue and there was a need to reflect this as Greater Lancashire.

Paul Robinson advised that this was not just about well being, this was also about other partners and how they were engaged. Tony Roberts had delivered this presentation several times to various partnership groups including Lancashire County Council and PCT's and it had been well received.

Richard commented that it was important to clarify aspirations by what was important to people and the LAA describing what are we going to do. There is real opportunity for the LPB to set out what needed to be done over the next year. Richard made reference to the list of work stream proposals and the need to clarify the future programmes of work.

Daniel advised the board of local figures for substance and alcohol misuse explaining there was a need to also look at children, he gave examples of his own experience of having used mental health services and queried the process for helping service users regain employment and the consequences.

Richard advised this debate highlights what are the pathways of employment and how powerful an impact this could have across the whole of Lancashire, being one of the targets for an agenda around investment and a business case in place. If this was to be one of the priorities then there would be a need to look at the LAA.

Judith stated that there should be clarity on what needs to happen and to ensure it does happen by driving the agenda forward with LAA being a vehicle for this and that it was good to see targets.

### **Minutes of the previous meeting**

The minutes of the previous meeting were accepted as an accurate record.

## **1. Matters Arising**

### **Lead commissioning developments**

Judith advised that the proposal would be discussed at the next PCT Chief Executive's meeting on the 9<sup>th</sup> March. It was agreed that this would be brought back to the next Partnership Board meeting in April.

### **Service User and Carer contact information**

Paul Hopley advised that the information for details of PCT and local authority contacts had been supplied to service user and carer representative members.

### **Role and function of the Partnership Board (*incorporating agenda item 5*)**

Richard advised that there were now two draft papers in respect of the future role and function of the LPB and that these needed to be joined together. Janice explained to members how the first paper for the proposals were drafted and that the LPB had agreed that plans for focus on future work streams should be drawn up. Richard advised that future work streams needed to be fed into the LAA.

Judith clarified the discussion at the last meeting in respect of the proposed paper for the future role and function of the LPB to set out a work streams project. She had met with Janice, Marie, Bernard, Daniel and Martin to draft a document to look at co-ordinating this work.

Helen advised that LIT's were involved with service users and carers locally and believed that the LPB needed to be involved with strategic issues across the whole of Lancashire. In Blackpool they are already looking at the whole system at a different local level and Helen had written a whole commissioning strategy plan.

Richard stated if the LPB can provide the framework then it can question the progress and said there is an opportunity to link around the LAA from service users perspective,

this should be agreed and given to Janice to see how we can achieve this goal with all three LAA's involved

**ACTION: Janice Horrocks**

Richard agreed to pull together the two documents about the role and future work streams.

**ACTION: Richard Jones**

### **3. Developing Age Inclusive Mental Health Services in Lancashire**

Stephen explained there had been a lot of input from agencies to look at people's needs, how they live and coping mechanisms because everyone is different. Services should be tailored to individual needs.

Richard advised that it was not for the Partnership Board to commission a model and suggested that commissioners now must develop local models. Judith stated the need for ageless services, to keep service users at home where possible, and to give this work to commissioners.

Consequently the Older People's Mental Health Development Group did not need to meet again. It was agreed that commissioners would feedback on progress in six months time.

### **4. Feedback from the Joint Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee**

Janice advised the Partnership Board that the Joint Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee (HOSC) had accepted and agreed the proposed changes to mental health services. David stated that there was a need to see the caveats first before having any further discussions. Janice advised that there had been an assumption by the HOSC that the Partnership Board would be responsible for overseeing this. Finlay advised that the Joint HOSC would be disbanding. David agreed that the Partnership Board would need to report to three HOSC's in the future. Shirley advised that several telephone calls from the press had been received.

### **6. Any other business**

Marie asked if there were any updates on community mental health projects including Daisyfield. Shirley advised that the three East Lancashire schemes were almost completed and all other Lancashire wide schemes were progressing well.

Daniel raised the issue of 'Giving Experience Meaning' (GEM) which should have been discussed under 'Matters Arising'. Paul Hopley advised that he had put GEM in touch with the Procurement Development Officer at Lancashire County Council on advice from Dr Edwards at CSIP, in this respect.

Date and time of next meeting, Friday 20<sup>th</sup> April 2007 at 1.30pm-3.30pm in the boardroom at Lancashire Care Trust headquarters, Sceptre Point.